

## VIA FACSIMILE AND U.S. MAIL

Craig Engle
Arent Fox PLLC
1050 Connecticut Avenue, N.W.
Washington, DC 20036

APR 0 5 2006

RE:

**MUR 5648** 

Broyhill for Congress et al.

Dear Mr. Engle:

On April 4, 2006 the Federal Election Commission found that there is probable cause to believe your clients, J. Edgar Broyhill II and Broyhill for Congress and Tim Nerhood, in his official capacity as treasurer, violated 2 U.S.C. §§ 441a-1(b)(1)(C), 441a-1(b)(1)(D), and 441a-1(b)(1)(E), provisions of the Federal Election Campaign Act of 1971, as amended, and that Broyhill for Congress and Tim Nerhood, in his official capacity as treasurer, violated 11 C.F.R. §§ 400.21(b) and 400.22(b), in connection with the failure to timely report expenditures made by Mr. Broyhill during the 2004 primary election campaign.

The Commission has a duty to attempt to correct such violations for a period of at least 30 days and no more than 90 days by informal methods of conference, conciliation, and persuasion, and by entering into a conciliation agreement with a respondent. If we are unable to reach an agreement after 30 days, the Commission may institute a civil suit in United States District Court and seek payment of a civil penalty.

Craig Engle
MUR 5648 (Broyhill for Congress et al.)
Page 2

Sincerely,

Lawrence H. Norton General Counsel

By: Rhonda J. Vosdingh

Associate General Counsel

for Enforcement